

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,111	07/07/2003	Fa-Yu Chu	2450-0513P	4229	
2292 7.	590 11/28/2003		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			BROWN,	BROWN, PETER R	
	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3636		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/613,111	CHU, FA-YU				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter R. Brown	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	L. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers			v				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific							
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (F 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) Information Disclosure Staten	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,111

Art Unit: 3636

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "said beautifying unit has a fixing components....for the adhesion and positioning", is confusing and unclear.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lobanoff in view of Chu.

Figure 4 shows a headrest assembly similar to that claimed, including a headrest cover 36 that has a window for viewing a lighted mirror accessory. The cover includes a protective unit 72 for overlying the window that is hinged at one end to the cover and which includes fastening means at the other end. While the accessory is not a video device, the use of video devices in headrests is old and well known in the art as shown by Chu (fig. 1), and to have provided such for the headrest of Lobanoff, for added versatility, would have been an obvious modification to one with ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,111

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lobanoff and Chu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Zisblatt.

To have utilized adhesive in place of the Velcro or magnets of Lobanoff for securing the protective cover in place, would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, as such is conventional as taught by Zisblatt. Whether one or both ends of the protective cover is secured by adhesive is considered a matter of design choice.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lobanoff and Chu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lewis.

While the use of a beautifying unit for the headrest cover may be considered a matter of design choice, the patent to Lewis (fig. 1) shows the use of a figure applied to a headrest cover for aesthetic purposes, and in view of this teaching, to have provided the headrest of Lobanoff with such a decorative member, would have been well within the level of skill in the art.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dixon, Labaze, Meritt, and Fitzgerald show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2103. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,111

Art Unit: 3636

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Peter R. Brown Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

prb